POSTER NUMBER

84

Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Related to Cardiac Safety in a Phase 3, Randomized, Controlled Trial of Oral Methylnaltrexone in Patients With Opioid-Induced Constipation and Chronic Noncancer Pain

INTRODUCTION

- Opioid-induced constipation (OIC), a consequence of µ-opioid receptor activation in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, is the most common symptom associated with the use of opioid analgesics for the treatment of chronic noncancer pain¹⁻⁴
- Over-the-counter laxatives are often inadequate to relieve the symptoms of OIC, which are often bothersome enough that patients discontinue or reduce opioid therapy^{1,4-6}
- Methylnaltrexone (Relistor[®], Salix Pharmaceuticals, Bridgewater, NJ) is a selective, peripherally acting µ-opioid receptor antagonist that improves GI motility and transit time without affecting µ-opioid receptor-associated analgesia7-9
- Currently available as a subcutaneous injection for the treatment of OIC, methylnaltrexone was approved for oral administration by the US Food and Drug Administration in 2016¹⁰
- In a phase 3, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, oral methylnaltrexone administered once daily was well tolerated and significantly improved the mean percentage of dosing days that resulted in a rescue-free bowel movement (RFBM) within 4 hours of dosing compared with placebo (P=0.002 with methylnaltrexone 300 mg; P<0.0001 with methylnaltrexone 450 mg) during a 4-week treatment period⁹
- Although opioid antagonists used to treat OIC are not generally associated with a higher risk for cardiovascular (CV) events, it is important to monitor cardiac safety in clinical trials because increased CV risk has been observed with 1 peripherally acting opioid antagonist¹¹⁻¹³

OBJECTIVE

• To evaluate the cardiac safety of oral methylnaltrexone versus placebo for the treatment of OIC in adult patients with chronic noncancer pain

METHODS

Key Inclusion Criteria

- Aged \geq 18 years, chronic noncancer pain for \geq 2 months, and receiving \geq 50 mg of oral morphine equivalents per day for \geq 14 days before screening
- History of OIC for ≥30 days confirmed during screening and defined as <3 RFBMs per week on average (ie, no laxative use within 24 hours prior to bowel movement) and ≥ 1 of the following:
- $\ge 25\%$ of RFBMs categorized as type 1 or type 2 on the Bristol Stool Form Scale
- Straining during ≥25% of RFBMs
- $\ge 25\%$ of RFBMs with a sensation of incomplete evacuation
- Laxative therapy for \geq 30 days before screening (discontinued during screening)

Key Exclusion Criteria

- History of mechanical bowel obstruction or megacolon or clinically significant GI disorders (eg, fecal incontinence, rectal prolapse, fecal ostomy, and inflammatory bowel disease)
- Rectal bleeding not associated with hemorrhoids or fissures within 60 days of screening
- Planned surgery during the study

Study Design

• This was a phase 3, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter, parallel-group study (NCT01186770)

• After a 14-day screening period, patients were randomized (1:1:1:1) to receive oral methylnaltrexone 150 mg, 300 mg, 450 mg, or placebo once daily (QD) for 4 weeks, followed by as-needed (PRN) dosing (not to exceed QD) for 8 weeks (Figure 1)

- Throughout the study, patients received the same dose of methylnaltrexone or placebo and double-blinding was maintained Patients were followed for 14 days after the double-blind period

Figure 1. Study Design



screening and week 4 (reported separately)

Safety Assessments

- **Statistical Analyses** methylnaltrexone or placebo

Concomitant Medications

- combination opioid agonists/antagonists

RESULTS

Patient Disposition

QD-dosing period received placebo completed the study

Figure 2. Patient Disposition by Dosing Period

				Randomized QD period (
		altrexone g (n=201)		naltrexone g (n=201)	-	altrexone g (n=200)	Placebo (n=201)
Discontinued, n (%)	17 (8.5)	Discontinued, n (%)	17 (8.9)	Discontinued, n (%)	25 (12.5)	Discontinued, n (%)	21 (10.4)
 Protocol violation 	3 (1.5)	Protocol violation	2 (1.0)	Ineligible	2 (1.0)	Protocol violation	7 (3.5)
• AE	1 (0.5)	• AE	3 (1.5)	• AE	6 (3.0)	• AE	4 (2.0)
 Patient request 	8 (4.0)	Patient request	8 (4.0)	Patient request	6 (3.0)	Patient request	6 (3.0)
 Lost to follow-up 	3 (1.5)	 Lost to follow-up Insufficient 	1 (0.5)	 Lost to follow-up 	7 (3.5)	Insufficient response	3 (1.5)
 Insufficient response 	2 (1.0)	response	3 (1.5)	 Insufficient response 	4 (2.0)	Other	1 (0.5)
		d QD period =184)	-	↓ d QD period =184)	-	↓ d QD period =175)	Completed QD per (n=180)

	Methylnaltrexone 150 mg (n=177)		Methylnaltrexone 300 mg (n=181)		Methylnaltrexone 450 mg (n=169)		Placebo (n=167)	
Discontinued, n (%)	17 (9.6)	Discontinued, n (%)	25 (13.8)	Discontinued, n (%)	21 (12.4)	Discontinued, n (%)	24 (14.4)	
 Protocol violation 	3 (1.7)	Protocol violation	6 (3.3)	Protocol violation	10 (5.9)	Protocol violation	4 (2.4)	
• AE	1 (0.6)	• AE	6 (3.3)	Patient request	3 (1.8)	• AE	4 (2.4)	
Patient	6 (3.4)	Patient request	4 (2.2)	 Lost to follow-up 	6 (3.6)	Patient request	7 (4.2)	
requestLost to		 Lost to follow-up 	5 (2.8)	Insufficient response	1 (0.6)	Lost to follow-up	8 (4.8)	
follow-up	7 (4.0)	 Insufficient response 	4 (2.2)	• Other	1 (0.6)	Insufficient response	1 (0.6)	
		↓ I PRN period =160)	-	↓ I PRN period =156)	-	↓ d PRN period =148)	Completed PRN per (n=143)	

PRN period (N=694)^a

AE = adverse event; PRN = as needed; QD = once daily.^aThe PRN group included patients who had a visit during the PRN period or who took study drug PRN after study day 28.

Richard Rauck, MD¹; Neal E. Slatkin, MD^{2,3}; Nancy Stambler, DrPH⁴; Sylvia Hanna, MD³; Robert J. Israel, MD³ ¹The Center for Clinical Research, Winston-Salem, NC; ²School of Medicine, University of California Riverside, CA; ³Salix Pharmaceuticals, Bridgewater, NJ; ⁴Progenics Pharmaceuticals, Inc., New York, NY

• Changes from baseline in vital signs, evaluated at baseline and weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, and 12 (reported separately)

• Changes from baseline in electrocardiogram (ECG) measurements, including incidence of abnormal ECGs, evaluated at

• Safety analyses were performed in the safety population, which consisted of all randomized patients who received ≥ 1 dose of

• Safety data were summarized using descriptive statistics

• Comparisons of each methylnaltrexone dose versus placebo for change from baseline in ECG parameters (ie, corrected QT intervals: QTcF [Fridericia correction], QTcB [Bazett correction]; QTcL [linear regression correction]) were performed using analysis of covariance with treatment as effect and baseline as a covariate; a 90% confidence interval for the treatment difference was reported

• Rescue laxative therapy (\leq 3 oral bisacodyl tablets per day) was permitted for patients who did not have a bowel movement for 3 consecutive study days (if bowel movements did not resume within 24 hours, an enema or additional bisacodyl tablets were allowed)

• Prohibited medications included prokinetic agents, stool softeners, 5-HT, receptor antagonists, partial opioid agonists, or

• Of the 804 randomized patients, 803 received ≥ 1 dose of methylnaltrexone 150 mg (n=201), methylnaltrexone 300 mg (n=201), methylnaltrexone 450 mg (n=200), or placebo (n=201) (Figure 2) - Overall, 90.0% of patients treated with methylnaltrexone (all doses) and 89.6% of patients treated with placebo completed the

- Among patients who entered the PRN dosing period, 88.0% who received methylnaltrexone (all doses) and 85.6% who

Baseline Characteristics

• Demographics and baseline characteristics were similar among the treatment groups (Table 1) Table 1. Demographics and Baseline Characteristics (ITT Population)

		Methylnaltrexone		Placebo
Characteristic	150 mg (n=201)	300 mg (n=201)	450 mg (n=200)	(n=201)
Mean age (SD), years	50.9 (10.3)	51.5 (10.5)	51.4 (10.5)	52.6 (10.3)
Age group, n (%)				
<65 years	186 (92.5)	182 (90.5)	181 (90.5)	179 (89.1)
≥65 years	15 (7.5)	19 (9.5)	19 (9.5)	22 (10.9)
Sex, n (%)				
Male	68 (33.8)	87 (43.3)	72 (36.0)	71 (35.3)
Female	133 (66.2)	114 (56.7)	128 (64.0)	130 (64.7)
Race, n (%)				
White	164 (81.6)	158 (78.6)	172 (86.0)	166 (82.6)
Black/African American	30 (14.9)	38 (18.9)	25 (12.5)	27 (13.4)
Other	7 (3.5)	5 (2.5)	3 (1.5)	8 (4.0)
Ethnicity, n (%)				
Hispanic or Latino	14 (7.0)	18 (9.0)	12 (6.0)	8 (4.0)
Not Hispanic or Latino	187 (93.0)	183 (91.0)	188 (94.0)	193 (96.0)
Mean weight (SD), kg	89.5 (24.8)	91.8 (24.5)	87.8 (23.1)	89.9 (24.0)
Primary pain condition, n (%)				
Back pain	132 (65.7)	136 (67.7)	135 (67.5)	145 (72.1)
Joint/extremity pain	13 (6.5)	16 (8.0)	11 (5.5)	10 (5.0)
Arthritis	20 (10.0)	15 (7.5)	19 (9.5)	12 (6.0)
Neurologic/neuropathic pain	16 (8.0)	13 (6.5)	16 (8.0)	11 (5.5)
Fibromyalgia	15 (7.5)	8 (4.0)	11 (5.5)	12 (6.0)
Other	5 (2.5)	13 (6.5)	8 (4.0)	11 (5.5)
Baseline MED, mg/d ^b				
Median (range)	141.1 (30.0–1280.0)	177.5 (47.4–2289.3)	155.6 (27.0–1272.0)	132 (42.6–1077.3)
Mean RFBMs per week (SD)	1.46 (0.91)	1.35 (0.89)	1.37 (0.79)	1.49 (1.05)
<3 average RFBMs per week, n (%)				
Yes	191 (95.0)	195 (97.0)	195 (97.5)	188 (93.5)
No	10 (5.0)	6 (3.0)	5 (2.5)	13 (6.5)

ITT = intent-to-treat; MED = morphine-equivalent dose; RFBM = rescue-free bowel movement; SD = standard deviation. The ITT population includes all randomized patients who ingested ≥ 1 dose of study drug ^bBaseline opioid dose was defined as the average daily oral MED during the screening period

Incidence of Cardiac Disorders

• The incidence of cardiac disorders was low and was similar in the methylnaltrexone and placebo treatment groups (Table 2) No patient discontinued from the study due to cardiac treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs)

• Cardiac disorders reported as serious adverse events (SAEs) occurred in 1 patient in the placebo group and no patients in the methylnaltrexone groups

Table 2. TEAEs Related to Cardiac Disorders (Safety Population)

		Placebo		
Patients reporting cardiac disorder, n (%)	150 mg (n=201)	300 mg (n=201)	450 mg (n=200)	(n=201)
Atrial flutter	0	0	0	1 (0.5)
Tachycardia	0	0	0	1 (0.5)
Angina pectoris	0	1 (0.5)	0	0
Arrhythmia	1 (0.5)	0	0	0
Atrial fibrillation	1 (0.5)	0	0	0
Atrioventricular block first degree	0	0	1 (0.5)	0
Bradycardia	0	1 (0.5)	0	0
Bundle branch block left	0	1 (0.5)	0	0
Bundle branch block right	0	1 (0.5)	0	0
Extrasystoles	0	1 (0.5)	0	0
_eft atrial dilatation	0	1 (0.5)	0	0
Palpitations	2 (1.0)	1 (0.5)	0	0
Sinus tachycardia	0	0	1 (0.5)	0
Ventricular extrasystoles	0	1 (0.5)	1 (0.5)	0

MedDRA = Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities; TEAE = treatment-emergent adverse event AEs were coded using MedDRA version 13.0. A patient reporting more than one AE for a particular MedDRA preferred term or system organ class was counted only once for that MedDRA preferred term or system organ class.

hanges From Baseline in ECG Parameters

• At week 4, mean changes from baseline in ECG parameters were minimal and comparable among the methylnaltrexone and placebo treatment groups (Figure 3 and Table 3)





Methylnaltrexone

BPM = beats per minute; ECG = electrocardiogram; QTcB = QT interval, Bazett correction; QTcF = QT interval, Fridericia correction; QTcL = QT interval, linear regression correction; QTc pooled = QT interval corrected on pooled data from all study centers.
 Table 3. Baseline ECG Parameters (Safety Population)

		-		
Baseline ECG parameters	150 mg (n=201)	300 mg (n=201)	450 mg (n=200)	
QT interval, ms	398.4	400.8	398.8	
QTcL, ms	398.4	400.8	398.8	
QTcF, ms	414.8	414.5	413.5	
QTcB, ms	424.0	422.0	421.9	
QTc pooled	419.6	418.5	417.3	
Heart rate, bpm	69.2	67.9	68.6	
QRS period, ms	90.5	91.1	92.1	
RR interval, ms	891.8	910.8	903.4	
PR interval, ms	158.9	163.2	166.7	
RPM – beats per minute: ECG – electrocardiogram: OTcB	– OT interval Bazett correction: OTcE – OT inte	enval Fridericia correction: OTcl	– OT interval linear regres	

BPM = beats per minute; ECG = electrocardiogram; QTcB = QT interval, Bazett correction; QTcF = QT interval, Fridericia correction; QTcL = QT interval, linear regression correction; QTc pooled = QT interval corrected on pooled data from all study centers. otentially Clinically Important ECG Results

• Changes in ECG parameters that may be clinically important were similar among the methylnaltrexone and placebo treatment groups (Table 4)

 Table 4. ECG Results of Potential Clinical Relevance

	Methylnaltrexone				
Postbaseline ECG abnormalities, n (%)	150 mg (n=201)	300 mg (n=201)	450 mg (n=200)		
Postbaseline abnormal ECG finding	76 (37.8)	78 (38.8)	73 (36.5)		
QT interval, ms	22 (10.9)	15 (7.5)	14 (7.0)		
Increases from baseline >30	19 (9.5)	14 (7.0)	11 (5.5)		
Increases from baseline >60	2 (1.0)	3 (1.5)	2 (1.0)		
QTcL, ms	22 (10.9)	15 (7.5)	14 (7.0)		
Increases from baseline >30	19 (9.5)	14 (7.0)	11 (5.5)		
Increases from baseline >60	2 (1.0)	3 (1.5)	2 (1.0)		
QTcF, ms	12 (6.0)	11 (5.5)	8 (4.0)		
Increases from baseline >30	5 (2.5)	7 (3.5)	7 (3.5)		
Increases from baseline >60	1 (0.5)	2 (1.0)	3 (1.5)		
QTcB, ms	12 (6.0)	27 (13.4)	17 (8.5)		
Increases from baseline >30	6 (3.0)	18 (9.0)	7 (3.5)		
Increases from baseline >60	1 (0.5)	1 (0.5)	4 (2.0)		

ECG = electrocardiogram; QTcB = QT interval, Bazett correction; QTcF = QT interval, Fridericia correction; QTcL = QT interval, linear regression correctio

Changes From Baseline in Hemodynamic Parameters

• At 1 hour after the first dose, changes from baseline in hemodynamic parameters were minimal and comparable in the methylnaltrexone and placebo treatment groups (Figure 4)

Figure 4. Categorical Changes From Baseline in (A) Heart Rate, (B) Systolic Blood Pressure. and (C) Diastolic Blood Pressure 1 Hour



Placebo
(n=201)
394.0
394.0
411.5
421.3
420.3
69.8
90.1
883.9

160.6

Placebo	
(n=201)	
64 (31.8)	
11 (5.5)	
11 (5.5)	
2 (1.0)	
11 (5.5)	
11 (5.5)	
2 (1.0)	
11 (5.5)	
9 (4.5)	
3 (1.5)	
19 (9.5)	
13 (6.5)	
4 (2.0)	



^aCategories include the lower limit of the interval (eg, 0 to 10 means 0 to <10).

<-20

Most Commonly Reported TEAEs

- Overall. the safety profile of oral methylnaltrexone (59.0%) was comparable to that of placebo (63.2%) at all dose levels tested (150 mg, 58.2%; 300 mg, 59.7%; 450 mg, 59.0%)
- The most common TEAEs were abdominal pain (150 mg, 5.5%; 300 mg, 8.0%; 450 mg, 10.5%; placebo, 8.5%), nausea (150 mg, 6.5%; 300 mg, 8.0%; 450 mg, 6.0%; placebo, 9.0%), and diarrhea (150 mg, 3.5%; 300 mg, 6.5%; 450 mg, 8.0%; placebo, 3.5%)

Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg

CONCLUSIONS

- In this study, oral methylnaltrexone was well tolerated for the treatment of OIC in patients with chronic noncancer pain, with a low incidence of TEAEs related to cardiac safety that was comparable with placebo
- Changes from baseline in ECG and hemodynamic parameters were minimal and did not suggest an increased cardiac safety risk with methylnaltrexone versus placebo

REFERENCES: 1. Bell TJ, et al. Pain Med. 2009;10(1):35-42. 2. Cook SF, et al. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2008;27(12):1224-1232. 3. Kalso E, et al. Pain. 2004;112(3):372-380 4. Coyne KS, et al. Clinicoecon Outcomes Res. 2014;6:269-281. 5. Gupta S, et al. J Opioid Manag. 2015;11(4):325-338. 6. Pappagallo M, et al. Am J Surg. 2001;182(suppl 5A):11S-18S. 7. Yuan CS, et al. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2000;67(4):398-404. 8. Yuan CS, Foss JF. JAMA. 2000;284(11):1383-1384. 9. Rauck RL, Hong KJ, North J. Pain Pract. 2017;17(3):329-335. 10. Relistor [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ: Salix Pharmaceuticals; 2017. 11. Holder RM, Rhee D. Pharmacotherapy 2016;36(3):287-299. 12. Siemens W, et al. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2015;16(4):515-532. 13. Entereg [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ: Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp; 2015.

DISCLOSURES: Dr. Hanna and Dr. Israel are employees of Salix (Valeant) Pharmaceuticals. Dr. Rauck has received research funding from BioDelivery Sciences International. Inc., Boston Scientific Corporation, Inc., Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc., and Mainstav Deliverv and is a consultant for Boston Scientific Corporation, Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc., and Pfizer Inc. Dr. Slatkin has been employed by Salix Medical Affairs since July 2016; prior to that time and for the preparation of all work related to the study on which this poster was based, he worked on behalf of Salix as an unpaid consultant; and through February 2016 was also on the Salix Speakers panel Dr. Stambler is a full-time employee and shareholder of Progenics Pharmaceuticals.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: This study was supported by Salix, a Division of Valeant Pharmaceuticals North America LLC, Bridgewater, NJ, USA, which has licensed the rights to develop and commercialize Relistor® from Progenics Pharmaceuticals, Inc., New York, NY, USA. Technical editorial and medical writing assistance was provided under the direction of the authors by Lisa Feder, PhD, Echelon Brand Communications, Parsippany, NJ. Funding for this support was provided by Salix

Research funded by:

