POSTER NUMBER 244

# Analysis of Impact of Oral Methylnaltrexone for Opioid-Induced Constipation on Opioid Analgesia and Withdrawal Symptoms in Patients With Chronic Noncancer Pain Taking Methadone

# BACKGROUND

- Opioids activate receptors in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract and slow GI transit, leading to constipation, which is commonly called opioid-induced constipation (OIC)<sup>1</sup>
- Stool softeners and laxatives do not disrupt opioid-GI receptor interactions and therefore may be ineffective for OIC<sup>2,3</sup>
- Methylnaltrexone is a peripherally acting  $\mu$ -opioid receptor antagonist (PAMORA), initially developed as a subcutaneous formulation, that improves transit within the GI tract without centrally mediated effects<sup>4,5</sup>
- An oral formulation of methylnaltrexone has been shown to be efficacious and well tolerated<sup>6</sup> and was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in 2016, at a recommended dosage of 450 mg once daily, for OIC in adults with chronic noncancer pain (CNCP)<sup>7</sup>
- Symptoms consistent with those of opioid withdrawal have been reported for another PAMORA in patients with OIC taking methadone<sup>8</sup>; this analysis assessed the impact of oral methylnaltrexone for OIC on opioid analgesia in a population with CNCP taking methadone

## AIM

• To evaluate the impact of oral methylnaltrexone on opioid analgesia in subgroups of adults with OIC and CNCP taking methadone

# METHODS

#### Patients and Study Design

- Post hoc analysis of a phase 3, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial<sup>6</sup>
- 14-day screening period, a 28-day (4-week) once-daily (QD) treatment period, a 56-day (8-week) as needed (PRN) period, and a 14-day follow-up period
- Double-blinding was maintained throughout the study
- Adults with CNCP for  $\geq 2$  months receiving  $\geq 50$  mg/d of oral morphine equivalents for ≥14 days who had OIC during screening period (<3 rescue-free bowel movements [RFBMs] per week associated with  $\geq 1$  of the following:  $\geq 25\%$  of RFBMs Bristol Stool Form Scale type 1 or type 2; straining during  $\geq 25\%$  of RFBMs; or  $\geq 25\%$  of RFBMs with sensation of incomplete evacuation)
- Eligible patients were randomly assigned to receive oral methylnaltrexone 150 mg, 300 mg, or 450 mg or placebo QD for 4 weeks followed by PRN dosing for 8 weeks

#### Assessments

- Oral morphine equivalent doses (MEDs) were recorded daily
- Evaluation of pain intensity and opioid withdrawal were conducted at baseline (day 1 predose), 1 hour postdose on day 1 (opioid withdrawal only), days 14 and 28 (QD period), and days 42, 56, and 84 (PRN period)
- Pain intensity was assessed using an 11-point numerical rating scale evaluating pain during the previous 24 hours (score, 0 = no pain;  $10 = worst possible pain)^9$
- Opioid withdrawal was assessed using the 13-item objective opioid withdrawal scale (OOWS)<sup>10</sup>
- Higher OOWS scores indicate greater numbers or intensity of symptoms
- Adverse events were monitored throughout the study
- Institutional review board approval was obtained and all patients provided written informed consent

Lynn R. Webster, MD<sup>1</sup>; Joseph R. Harper, PharmD<sup>2</sup>; Nancy Stambler, DrPH<sup>3</sup>; Robert J. Israel, MD<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>PRA Health Sciences, Salt Lake City, UT; <sup>2</sup>Salix Pharmaceuticals, Raleigh, NC; <sup>3</sup>Progenics Pharmaceuticals, Inc., New York, NY

### RESULTS

• Overall, 120 of 803 patients reported concomitant methadone use (Table 1)

#### Table 1. Demographic and Baseline Characteristics

	Methadone Population (n=120)				Nonmethadone Population (n=683)			
	Oral M	Oral Methylnaltrexone			Oral Methylnaltrexone			
Parameter	150 mg (n=33)	300 mg (n=30)	450 mg (n=31)	Placebo (n=26)	150 mg (n=168)	300 mg (n=171)	450 mg (n=169)	Placebo (n=175)
Mean age, y (range)	47.0 (18–68)	48.1 (24–66)	50.8 (25–71)	47.3 (24–61)	51.7 (25–79)	52.1 (24–82)	51.6 (23–78)	53.3 (23–78)
Females, n (%)	21 (63.6)	13 (43.3)	21 (67.7)	13 (50.0)	112 (66.7)	101 (59.1)	107 (63.3)	117 (66.9)
Race, white, n (%)	29 (87.9)	26 (86.7)	28 (90.3)	25 (96.2)	135 (80.4)	132 (77.2)	144 (85.2)	141 (80.6)
Primary pain condition					 			
Back pain	24 (72.7)	20 (66.7)	19 (61.3)	18 (69.2)	108 (64.3)	116 (67.8)	116 (68.6)	127 (72.6)
Joint/extremity pain	1 (3.0)	3 (10.0)	1 (3.2)	1 (3.8)	12 (7.1)	13 (7.6)	10 (5.9)	9 (5.1)
Arthritis	2 (6.1)	1 (3.3)	3 (9.7)	4 (15.4)	18 (10.7)	14 (8.2)	16 (9.5)	8 (4.6)
Neurologic/neuropathic pain	2 (6.1)	2 (6.7)	3 (9.7)	0	14 (8.3)	11 (6.4)	13 (7.7)	11 (6.3)
Fibromyalgia	3 (9.1)	2 (6.7)	3 (9.7)	1 (3.8)	12 (7.1)	6 (3.5)	8 (4.7)	11 (6.3)
Other	1 (3.0)	2 (6.7)	2 (6.5)	2 (7.7)	4 (2.4)	11 (6.4)	6 (3.6)	9 (5.1)
Median baseline MED, mg/d (range)	186.8 (60–1140)	269.8 (72–2289)	225.0 (90–720)	170.2 (60–600)	127.2 (30–1280)	140.7 (47–1976)	129.0 (27–1272)	126.1 (43–1077)
Mean pain intensity score (SD)	6.4 (1.6)	5.4 (1.8)	6.0 (2.1)	5.6 (2.2)	6.4 (1.9)	6.5 (1.9)	6.5 (1.8)	6.2 (2.1)

MED = morphine equivalent dose; SD = standard deviation.

- There were no significant differences in mean change from baseline in pain intensity scores for any of the 3 methylnaltrexone groups versus placebo in the methadone-treated (Figure 1A; P>0.05 vs placebo) or the nonmethadone-treated (Figure 1B; P>0.05 vs placebo) populations during the QD and PRN periods
- As well, when compared with the nonmethadone placebo group, no significant changes from baseline were observed at any timepoints for 3 methylnaltrexone groups in the methadone-treated population (P>0.05 vs nonmethadone placebo)

#### Figure 1. Change From Baseline in Pain Intensity Scale Scores in the Methadone-Treated (A) and Nonmethadone-Treated (B) Populations



\*P>0.05 vs placebo for all methylnaltrexone groups PRN = as needed; QD = once daily.



• In general, minimal changes in median MED were observed during the 12-week study (Figure 2)

MED = morphine equivalent dose.

 In the methadone-treated population, minimal changes in mean OOWS scores over time were observed (Figure 3) with no significant differences versus placebo at any timepoint (P>0.05 vs placebo for each of the 3 methylnaltrexone groups)



#### Figure 3. Mean OOWS Score Over Time

OOWS = objective opioid withdrawal scale

• Oral methylnaltrexone was generally well tolerated (Table 2)

AAPM 33rd Annual Meeting • March 16–19, 2017 • Orlando, FL

#### Table 2. AE Summary in Methadone-Treated Population (QD, PRN, and Follow-Up Periods) Dationte n(0/a)

	Patients, n (%)								
	0								
AEs	150 mg (n=33)	300 mg (n=30)	450 mg (n=31)	Placebo (n=26)					
Any AE	21 (63.6)	21 (70.0)	23 (74.2)	12 (46.2)					
Discontinuations due to AEs	0	1 (3.3) <sup>+</sup>	1 (3.2)‡	0					
Most common AEs*									
Abdominal pain	4 (12.1)	4 (13.3)	10 (32.3)	0					
Nausea	2 (6.1)	5 (16.7)	5 (16.1)	0					
Diarrhea	1 (3.0)	3 (10.0)	4 (12.9)	0					
Flatulence	3 (9.1)	2 (6.7)	1 (3.2)	2 (7.7)					
Hyperhidrosis	3 (9.1)	3 (10.0)	1 (3.2)	0					
URTI	2 (6.1)	1 (3.3)	0	3 (11.5)					
Fall	3 (9.1)	1 (3.3)	0	0					
Upper abdominal pain	0	3 (10.0)	0	0					

\*Reported in ≥8.0% of patients in any treatment group

<sup>†</sup>AE of upper abdominal pain. AF of vertiao.

AE = adverse event; PRN = as needed; QD = once daily; URTI = upper respiratory tract infection.

# CONCLUSIONS

- Oral methylnaltrexone does not elicit opioid withdrawal or interfere with opioid analgesia in patients with CNCP and OIC taking methadone
- Data further support that methylnaltrexone can be considered as an option for OIC, without clinically significant concerns about compromising pain management strategies in patients with CNCP

REFERENCES: 1. Clemens KE, Klaschik E. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2010;6:77-82. 2. Bell TJ, et al. Pain Med. 2009;10(1):35-42. 3. Cook SF. et al. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2008:27(12):1224-1232. 4. Bader S. et al. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2013:7(1):13-26. 5. Mehta N, et al. Postgrad Med. 2016;128(3):282-289. 6. Rauck RL, et al. Pain Pract. 2016. E-pub ahead of print. 7. Relistor® [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ: Salix Pharmaceuticals; 2016. 8. Movantik<sup>®</sup> [package insert]. Wilmington, DE: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP; 2014. **9.** Farrar JT, et al. *Pain*. 2001;94(2):149-158. **10.** Handelsman L, et al. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse*. 1987;13(3):293-308.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: Funding for this study was provided by Salix Pharmaceuticals, Raleigh, NC. Technical editorial and medical writing assistance was provided under the direction of the authors by Mary Beth Moncrief, PhD, Synchrony Medical Communications, LLC, West Chester, PA. Funding for this support was provided by Salix Pharmaceuticals.

**DISCLOSURES:** LRW has received some form of financial support from AstraZeneca, Cara Therapeutics, Depomed, Inc., Egalet Corporation, Grünenthal USA, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Kaléo, Inc. Kempharm, Inc., Mallinckrodt Pharmaceuticals, Orexo, Pain Therapeutics Pfizer Inc, Scilex Pharmaceuticals, Shionogi, Inc., Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd, and Trevena, Inc. JRH is a former employee of Salix Pharmaceuticals. NS is an employee of Progenics Pharmaceuticals, Inc. RJI is an employee of Salix Pharmaceuticals or its affiliates.

**Research funded by:**