POSTER NUMBER Su1687

Utility of the Hepatic Encephalopathy Scoring Algorithm (HESA) for Diagnosing Hepatic Encephalopathy in a Randomized, Controlled Trial of Rifaximin vs. Placebo

Tarek Hassanein¹; Fatma Barakat¹; Andrew C. Barrett, PhD²; Enoch Bortey, PhD²; Craig Paterson, MD²; William P. Forbes, PharmD² ¹Southern California Liver Centers, Coronado, CA, USA: ²Salix Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Raleigh, NC, USA

INTRODUCTION

- The Conn score (West Haven criteria) is widely used as a grading system for assessing the severity of hepatic encephalopathy (HE)¹
 - Although clinically simple, limitations include subjectivity of healthcare provider interpretation, lack of specific definitions for each grade, and inaccuracy in differentiating milder grades of HE severity²
- The Hepatic Encephalopathy Scoring Algorithm (HESA) is an adaption of this grading system: HESA combines clinical examination with standardized and validated indicators of cognitive impairment to improve objectivity and increase sensitivity for determining HE severity (Figure 1: Table 1)³
 - Designed to minimize effects of patient age and education level^{2,4}
 - Relies primarily on clinical examination for more severe HE (ie, grades III-IV), in which neuropsychological testing is not feasible, and on objective cognitive testing for less severe HE (ie, grades I-II)^{2,3}
- HESA was incorporated into a large, randomized, placebo-controlled trial of rifaximin 550 mg twice daily for the maintenance of recurrent HE remission⁵

Figure 1. Hepatic Encephalopathy Scoring Algorithm (HESA)

HE Grade	Clinical Assessments	Neuropsychological Assessments	HE Grade Determination
IV	 No eyes open No verbal response No reaction to simple commands 	Not applicable	All 3 indicators present
111	 Somnolence Confusion Disoriented to place Bizarre behavior/anger/rage Clonus/rigidity/nystagmus/Babinski 	Mental control = 0	At least 3 indicators present, either clinical or neuropsychological
II	 Lethargy Disoriented to time Slurred speech Hyperactive reflexes Inappropriate behavior 	 Slow responses Anxiety Amnesia recent events Simple computations 	At least 2 clinical and 3 neuropsychological indicators present
I	 Sleep disorder Tremor 	 Complex computations Construction ability Shortened attention span Depression 	At least 4 indicators present, either clinical or neuropsychological

Scoring begins with the highest HE grade (grade IV) working downward through the algorithm. Clinical assessments are indicated by (•) and neuropsychological assessments are indicated by (•).

Adapted with permission from Hassanein T et al. Am J Gastroenterol. 2009;104(6):1392-1400.

Table 1. Indicators of Impairment on Neuropsychological Measures

Neuropsychological Assessment		Impairment		
HESA grade III	Mental control	• Score = 0		
HESA grade II	Slow responses Anxiety Amnesia recent events Simple computations	 Mental control <4 Score >4 HVLT recognition <100% First 3 problems <100% 		
HESA grade I	Complex computations Construction ability Shortened attention span Depression	 Second 3 problems <100% BVMT-R copy trial <6 or cannot legibly write name Number of digits correctly repeated on digit span <5 Score >4 		

BVMT-R = brief visuospatial memory test-revised: HVLT = Hopkins verbal learning test. Adapted with permission from Hassanein TI, Hilsabeck RC, Perry W. Dig Dis Sci. 2008;53(2):529-538.

OBJECTIVE

• To assess the utility of the HESA as a tool for enhancing HE grading in randomized, controlled trials

METHODS

- This was a randomized, phase 3, placebo-controlled, multinational clinical trial in adults with cirrhosis and HE who were currently in remission (Conn score 0 or 1) and had a history of ≥ 2 episodes of overt HE (Conn score ≥ 2) within 6 months of screening⁵
- HESA was used to assist in assigning Conn score at clinic visits⁵
 - Aid for detecting "subtle" breakthrough HE episodes during clinic visits - HESA and Conn assessments at a particular visit were to be performed by the
 - same individual whenever possible - Clinic visits occurred on days 7 and 14 and every 2 weeks thereafter through day 168 (end of the treatment), with optional visits on days 42, 70, 98, 126, and 154
- A breakthrough overt HE episode was defined as an increase in Conn score to grade \geq 2. or if baseline Conn score = 0. an increase of 1 grade each in Conn and asterixis scores⁵
- This post hoc analysis evaluated the ability of HESA parameters to differentiate HE Conn scores at baseline and post-baseline

RESULTS

Patient Disposition and Demographics

 A total of 299 patients were treated at 70 centers in North America and Russia (Table 2)⁵ - Baseline HESA data were available for 129 patients with a Conn score of 0 and 43 patients with a Conn score of 1

Table 2. Demographics and Baseline Disease Characteristics

Characteristic	All patients (N = 299)
Age (years), mean (SD)	56.2 (9.4)
Male, n (%)	182 (60.9)
Race, white, n (%)	257 (86.0)
Time since advanced liver disease diagnosis (months), mean (SD)	56.2 (58.2)
Duration of current HE remission (days), mean (SD)	71.1 (49.6)
Conn score, n (%)	
0	200 (66.9)
1	99 (33.1)
Model End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) score, n (%) ^a	
≤10	82 (27.4)
11–18	190 (63.5)
19–24	26 (8.7) [′]
Country	
United States	205 (68.6)
Russia	80 (26.8)
Canada	14 (4.7)

^aData missing for 1 patient

RESULTS

Table 3. Impairment According to HESA Indicators, by Baseline Conn Score

HESA Indicator, n (%) ^a	Conn score = 0 (n = 129)	Conn score = 1 (n = 43)	P value ^b
HESA grade II			
Clinical			
Lethargy	10 (7.8)	2 (4.7)	NS
Disoriented to time	1 (0.8)	2 (4.7)	NS
Slurred speech	1 (0.8)	2 (4.7)	NS
Hyperactive reflexes	1 (0.8)	2 (4.7)	NS
Inappropriate behavior	0	0	—
Neuropsychological			
Slow responses	18 (14.0)	9 (20.9)	NS
Anxiety	17 (13.2)	10 (23.3)	NS
Amnesia of recent events	64 (49.6)	32 (74.4)	0.005
Simple computations	4 (3.1)	6 (14.0)	0.02
HESA grade I			
Clinical			
Sleep disorder	32 (24.8)	27 (62.8)	< 0.001
Tremor	17 (13.2)	21 (48.8)	< 0.001
Neuropsychological			
Complex computations	31 (24.0)	18 (41.9)	0.03
Construction ability	3 (2.3)	1 (2.3)	NS
Shortened attention span	10 (7.8)	5 (11.6)	NS
Depression	17 (13.2)	13 (30.2)	0.02

Conn Conn							
ESA Indicator, n (%) ^a	score = 0 (n = 129)	score = 1 (n = 43)	P value ^b				
ESA grade II							
inical							
Lethargy	10 (7.8)	2 (4.7)	NS				
Disoriented to time	1 (0.8)	2 (4.7)	NS				
Slurred speech	1 (0.8)	2 (4.7)	NS				
Hyperactive reflexes	1 (0.8)	2 (4.7)	NS				
Inappropriate behavior	0	0	—				
europsychological							
Slow responses	18 (14.0)	9 (20.9)	NS				
Anxiety	17 (13.2)	10 (23.3)	NS				
Amnesia of recent events	64 (49.6)	32 (74.4)	0.005				
Simple computations	4 (3.1)	6 (14.0)	0.02				
ESA grade I							
inical							
Sleep disorder	32 (24.8)	27 (62.8)	< 0.001				
Tremor	17 (13.2)	21 (48.8)	< 0.001				
europsychological							
Complex computations	31 (24.0)	18 (41.9)	0.03				
Construction ability	3 (2.3)	1 (2.3)	NS				
Shortened attention span	10 (7.8)	5 (11.6)	NS				
Depression	17 (13.2)	13 (30.2)	0.02				

HESA Indicator, n (%) ^a	Conn score = 0 (n = 129)	Conn score = 1 (n = 43)	P value ^t
HESA grade II			
Clinical			
Lethargy	10 (7.8)	2 (4.7)	NS
Disoriented to time	1 (0.8)	2 (4.7)	NS
Slurred speech	1 (0.8)	2 (4.7)	NS
Hyperactive reflexes	1 (0.8)	2 (4.7)	NS
Inappropriate behavior	0	0	_
Neuropsychological			
Slow responses	18 (14.0)	9 (20.9)	NS
Anxiety	17 (13.2)	10 (23.3)	NS
Amnesia of recent events	64 (49.6)	32 (74.4)	0.005
Simple computations	4 (3.1)	6 (14.0)	0.02
HESA grade I			
Clinical			
Sleep disorder	32 (24.8)	27 (62.8)	< 0.001
Tremor	17 (13.2)	21 (48.8)	< 0.001
Neuropsychological			
Complex computations	31 (24.0)	18 (41.9)	0.03
Construction ability	3 (2.3)	1 (2.3)	NS
Shortened attention span	10 (7.8)	5 (11.6)	NS
Depression	17 (13.2)	13 (30.2)	0.02

^bConn score of 0 vs 1. NS = not significant; P > 0.05.

- 2. indicative of a breakthrough HE episode
- Conn score of 0 versus 1 (Table 4)

· Significant differences were observed at baseline between patients with a Conn score of 0 versus those with a Conn score of 1 for 2 clinical HESA indicators (P < 0.001) and 4 neuropsychological HESA indicators (P < 0.05; Table 3)

^aWith the exception of mental control = 0 (6 patients with Conn score = 0 and 3 patients with Conn score = 1, P = 0.70). ≤ 1 patient showed impairment in HESA clinical indicators for HE grades III or IV.

During clinic visits, 18 patients were identified as having progressed to a Conn score =

 Significant differences were observed for several HESA clinical and neuropsychological indicators post-baseline, most often between patients with a Conn score of 0 versus 2 or

There was generally good reliability among study sites consistent with prior findings⁴

RESULTS

Table 4. Impairm	ent According to HESA	A Indicato	rs, by Pos	t-baseline	Conn S	Score	
		Conn score = 0	Conn score = 1	Conn score = 2		<u>P value</u>	
HESA Indicator, n	(%) ^a	(n = 138)	(n = 93)	(n = 18)	0 vs 1	1 vs 2	0 vs 2
HESA grade III							
Clinical	Somnolence	0	0	0	-	-	-
	Confusion	0	0	1 (5.6)	-	NS	NS
	Disoriented to place	0	1 (1.1)	0	NS	NS	-
	Bizarre behavior/ anger/rage	1 (0.7)	2 (2.2)	0	NS	NS	NS
	Clonus/rigidity/ nystagmus/Babinski	1 (0.7)	1 (1.1)	1 (5.6)	NS	NS	NS
Neuropsychological	Mental control = 0	6 (4.3)	7 (7.5)	4 (22.2)	NS	NS	0.02
HESA grade II							
Clinical	Lethargy	8 (5.8)	25 (26.9)	14 (77.8)	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
	Disoriented to time	1 (0.7)	4 (4.3)	3 (16.7)	NS	NS	0.005
	Slurred speech	3 (2.2)	7 (8)	8 (44)	NS	< 0.001	< 0.001
	Hyperactive reflexes	1 (0.7)	0	1 (5.6)	NS	NS	NS
	Inappropriate behavior	0	2 (2.2)	10 (55.6)	NS	< 0.001	< 0.001
Neuropsychological	Slow responses	46 (33.3)	28 (30.1)	10 (55.6)	NS	NS	NS
	Anxiety	25 (18.1)	34 (36.6)	9 (50.0)	0.002	NS	0.005
	Amnesia of recent events	94 (68.1)	59 (63.4)	15 (83.3)	NS	NS	NS
	Simple computations	11 (8.0)	13 (13.9)	6 (33.3)	NS	NS	0.006
HESA grade I							
Clinical	Sleep disorder	31 (22.4)	54 (58.1)	9 (50.0)	< 0.001	NS	0.02
	Tremor	33 (23.9)	45 (48.4)	8 (44.4)	< 0.001	NS	NS
Neuropsychological	Complex computations	38 (27.5)	44 (47.3)	9 (50.0)	0.003	NS	NS
	Construction ability	7 (5.1)	12 (12.9)	2 (11.1)	0.049	NS	NS
	Shortened attention span	20 (14.5)	19 (20.4)	6 (33.3)	NS	NS	NS
	Depression	20 (14.5)	27 (29.0)	7 (38.9)	0.01	NS	0.02

^aNo patients showed impairment in HESA clinical indicators for grade IV. NS = not significant; P > 0.05.

CONCLUSIONS

- HESA provided good precision in differentiating Conn scores 0 from scores of 1 or 2 and is a time-efficient, objective, and reliable approach for assessing the broad spectrum of neurologic and clinical manifestations of HE
- Although further validation is needed. HESA may provide a more objective assessment of HE severity than Conn score (West Haven) in multicenter clinical trials

REFERENCES 1. Ferenci P. Lockwood A. Mullen K. Tarter R. Weissenborn K. Blei AT Hepatology, 2002;35(3);716-721. 2. Sakamoto M. Perry W. Hilsabeck RC. Barakat F. Hassanein T. Clin Liver Dis. 2012;16(1):27-42. 3. Hassanein TI. Hilsabeck RC. Perry W. Dig Dis Sci. 2008;53(2):529-538. 4. Hassanein T, Blei AT, Perry W, et al. Am J Gastroenterol. 2009;104(6):1392-1400. 5. Bass NM, Mullen KD, Sanyal A, et al. N Engl. Med 2010:362(12):1071-1081



Acknowledgment: Technical editorial and medical writing assistance was provided under the direction of the authors by Mary Beth Moncrief, PhD, for Synchrony Medical, LLC, West Chester PA