Rifaximin Plus Lactulose Is More Effective Than Lactulose Alone for the Prevention of **Overt Hepatic Encephalopathy in Patients With or Without Diabetes**

Jasmohan S. Bajaj, MD¹; Robert J. Wong, MD²; Zeev Heimanson, PharmD³; Christopher Allen, MS³; Robert J. Israel, MD³; Arun J. Sanyal, MD¹ ¹Virginia Commonwealth University and Central Virginia Veterans Healthcare System, Richmond, VA; ²Stanford University School of Medicine and Veterans Affairs Palo Alto Healthcare System, Palo Alto, CA; ³Salix Pharmaceuticals, Bridgewater, NJ

INTRODUCTION

- Rifaximin (Xifaxan[®]) is indicated for the reduction in risk of overt hepatic encephalopathy (OHE) recurrence in adults
- Practice guidelines recommend rifaximin as an add-on therapy to lactulose for prevention of OHE recurrence¹
- Diabetes mellitus is a common comorbidity in patients with cirrhosis, and limited published data suggest that comorbid diabetes in patients with cirrhosis may impact the effectiveness of some HE therapies^{2,3}

AIM

• To evaluate the efficacy and safety of rifaximin plus lactulose versus lactulose alone in patients with cirrhosis, with or without diabetes

METHODS

Study Design and Patient Population

• Data were pooled from 2 randomized studies (phase 3 randomized, double-blind trial⁴ and a phase 4 open-label clinical trial) and included adults with cirrhosis and a history of OHE during the previous 6 months who were in OHE remission - Patients were subgrouped post hoc by the baseline presence or absence of diabetes (yes/no)

Treatment and Assessments

- In the phase 3 trial, rifaximin 550 mg twice daily (BID) or placebo was administered with optional lactulose (titrated to 2-3 soft stools/day) for 6 months
- In the phase 4 trial arm (included in the current analysis), rifaximin 550 mg BID plus lactulose (titrated to 2-3 soft stools/day) was administered for 6 months*
- Placebo plus lactulose treatment was defined as "lactulose alone"
- Outcomes assessed included time to onset of OHE episode (Conn score \geq 2) and time to first HE-related hospitalization (original trial endpoints)
- Hazard ratio estimates were obtained using a Cox proportional hazards model with effect for treatment, and P values were based on the score statistic

RESULTS

- 135 patients with cirrhosis had comorbid diabetes and 246 patients did not have diabetes at baseline (**Table 1**)
- At baseline, 78.5% of patients with diabetes had mean MELD scores of 11-24 (median, 13) and 69.1% without diabetes had MELD scores 11-24 (median, 12)

Table. Demographic and Baseline Disease Characteristics

	Baseline Diabe	petes (n=135) No Baseline Diabetes (n		abetes (n=246)
Characteristic	Rifaximin Plus Lactulose (n=84)	Lactulose Alone (n=51)	Rifaximin Plus Lactulose (n=152)	Lactulose Alone (n=94)
MELD Mean (SD) Median (range)	12.7 (3.3) 13 (6-21)	13.3 (3.6) 14 (7-23)	12.4 (3.6) 12 (6-24)	12.6 (3.9) 12 (6-23)
Child-Pugh class, n (%) A B C Missing	28 (33.3) 47 (56.0) 4 (4.8) 5 (6.0)	16 (31.4) 27 (52.9) 3 (5.9) 5 (9.8)	52 (34.2) 77 (50.7) 16 (10.5) 7 (4.6)	33 (35.1) 40 (42.6) 10 (10.6) 11 (11.7)
Duration of current OHE remission, d, median	62.0	64.0	53.0	56.0
OHE episodes during previous 6 mo, n (%) 1-2 ≥3 Missing	61 (72.6) 18 (21.4) 5 (6.0)	31 (60.8) 19 (37.3) 1 (2.0)	122 (80.3) 28 (18.4) 2 (1.3)	68 (72.3) 26 (27.7) 0

MELD = Model for End-Stage Liver Disease; OHE = overt hepatic encephalopathy.





P	atien
re	curr
[F	igur

Patient Adverse Any AE Any se Most o Abdom

Abdomi Abdomi

Abdom

Ascites

Constig

Diarrhe Flatuler

Nausea

Vomitin

AF = adverse event.

*The rifaximin alone arm was not included in the current pooled analysis.

• Significantly fewer patients treated with rifaximin plus lactulose had an OHE episode compared with lactulose alone during 6 months for those with diabetes (22.6% vs 51.0%; P<0.001) and for those without diabetes (17.1% vs 47.9%; P<0.0001; Figure 1)

Figure 1. Percentage of Patients With an OHE Episode or **HE-Related Hospitalization During 6 Months of Treatment OHE Episode HE-Related Hospitalization**



nts treated with rifaximin plus lactulose had a 64% reduction in risk of OHE rence versus lactulose alone (HR, 0.36; number needed to treat [NNT]=3.5 re 2A]) during 6 months of treatment among those with diabetes, whereas patients without diabetes had a 70% reduction in risk of OHE recurrence (HR, 0.30; NNT=3.3 [Figure 2B])

• Furthermore, significantly fewer patients treated with rifaximin plus lactulose had an HE-related hospitalization compared with lactulose alone among those with diabetes (14.3% vs 29.4%; P=0.01) and without diabetes (10.5% vs 20.2%; P=0.008; Figure 1) • Patients treated with rifaximin plus lactulose had a 60% reduction in risk of first HErelated hospitalization during 6 months compared with lactulose alone among those with diabetes (HR, 0.40; NNT=6.6 [Figure 3A]) and a 59% reduction in risk among those without diabetes (HR, 0.41; NNT=10.3 [Figure 3B])

• When comparing the subgroup with diabetes to the group without diabetes, treatment with rifaximin plus lactulose showed similar positive outcomes for rate of OHE episodes (P=0.31, with vs without diabetes) and HE-related hospitalizations (P=0.34, with vs without diabetes)

• Addition of rifaximin to lactulose was generally well tolerated, regardless of baseline diabetes status (Table 2)

Table 2. Summary of Adverse Events

Baseline Diabetes (n=135)		No Baseline Diabetes (n=246)					
Rifaximin Plus Lactulose (n=84)	Lactulose Alone (n=51)	Rifaximin Plus Lactulose (n=152)	Lactulose Alone (n=94)				
74 (88.1) 36 (42.9)	45 (88.2) 31 (60.8)	114 (75.0) 49 (32.2)	81 (86.2) 29 (30.9)				
common gastrointestinal-related AEs*							
5 (6.0)	0	2 (1.3)	3 (3.2)				
6 (7.1)	5 (9.8)	11 (7.2)	7 (7.4)				
8 (9.5)	5 (9.8)	12 (7.9)	6 (6.4)				
3 (3.6)	3 (5.9)	7 (4.6)	5 (5.3)				
14 (16.7)	7 (13.7)	15 (9.9)	8 (8.5)				
9 (10.7)	2 (3.9)	9 (5.9)	8 (8.5)				
8 (9.5)	8 (15.7)	20 (13.2)	13 (13.8)				
2 (2.4)	0	7 (4.6)	5 (5.3)				
15 (17.9)	8 (15.7)	16 (10.5)	13 (13.8)				
6 (7.1)	6 (11.8)	10 (6.6)	8 (8.5)				
	Rifaximin Plus Lactulose $(n=84)$ 74 (88.1) 36 (42.9) testinal-related A 5 (6.0) 6 (7.1) 8 (9.5) 3 (3.6) 14 (16.7) 9 (10.7) 8 (9.5) 2 (2.4) 15 (17.9)	Rifaximin Plus Lactulose (n=84) Lactulose Alone (n=51) 74 (88.1) 36 (42.9) 45 (88.2) 31 (60.8) testinal-related AEs* 5 (6.0) 0 6 (7.1) 5 (9.8) 8 (9.5) 5 (9.8) 3 (3.6) 3 (5.9) 14 (16.7) 7 (13.7) 9 (10.7) 2 (3.9) 8 (9.5) 8 (15.7) 2 (2.4) 0 15 (17.9) 8 (15.7)	Rifaximin Plus Lactulose (n=84) Lactulose Alone (n=51) Rifaximin Plus Lactulose (n=152) 74 (88.1) 36 (42.9) 45 (88.2) 31 (60.8) 114 (75.0) 49 (32.2) testinal-related AEs* 5 (6.0) 0 2 (1.3) 6 (7.1) 5 (9.8) 11 (7.2) 8 (9.5) 5 (9.8) 12 (7.9) 3 (3.6) 3 (5.9) 7 (4.6) 14 (16.7) 7 (13.7) 15 (9.9) 9 (10.7) 2 (3.9) 9 (5.9) 8 (9.5) 8 (15.7) 20 (13.2) 2 (2.4) 0 7 (4.6) 15 (17.9) 8 (15.7) 16 (10.5)				

*>5.0% of patients in any treatment group, ordered alphabetically.



A. With Diabetes



HE = hepatic encephalopathy.

- regardless of diabetes status

REFERENCES: 1. Vilstrup H, et al. Hepatology. 2014;60(2):715-735. 2. Gairing SJ, et al. Metab Brain Dis. 2023;38(5):1691-1700. 3. Ballester MP, et al. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):2463. 4. Bass NM, et al. N Engl J Med. 2010;362(12):1071-1081 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: The trials and current analyses were supported by Salix Pharmaceuticals. Technical editorial and medical writing assistance were provided under direction of the authors by Mary Beth Moncrief, PhD, Synchrony Medical Communications, LLC, West Chester, PA. Funding for this assistance was provided by Salix Pharmaceuticals.

DISCLOSURES: JSB reports receiving research funding (paid to institution) from Cosmo Pharmaceuticals, Grifols, Mallinckrodt Pharmaceuticals, Salix Pharmaceuticals, and Sequana Medical; and being a consultant for Merz Therapeutics. RJW reports serving as a consultant for Salix Pharmaceuticals; serving as a consultant and advisor to Gilead Sciences; and receiving research grants (to his institution) from Exact Sciences and Gilead Sciences. ZH, CA, and RJI are employees of Salix Pharmaceuticals or its affiliates. AJS reports receiving research funding (paid to his institution) from Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Conatus Pharmaceuticals, Cumberland Pharmaceuticals, Echosens, Gilead Sciences, Immuron, Intercept Pharmaceuticals, Mallinckrodt Pharmaceuticals, Merck & Co, Inc, Novartis, and Sequana Therapeutics; serving as a consultant for ARTham Therapeutics, AstraZeneca, Bird Rock Bio, Blade Therapeutics, Conatus Pharmaceuticals, Echosens, Eli Lilly, Gilead Sciences, Glympse Bio, HemoShear Therapeutics, MedImmune (AstraZeneca), NASH Pharmaceuticals, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, ProSciento, Salix Pharmaceuticals, Sanofi, Terns Pharmaceuticals, and Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd; serving as a scientific advisor for Albireo Pharma, AstraZeneca, and MedImmune; being a stock shareholder of DURECT Corp, Exhalenz, Galmed Pharmaceuticals Ltd, Genfit, Indalo Therapeutics, and Tiziana Life Sciences; and participating in research collaborations with CymaBay Therapeutics, Labcorp, and Second Genome.

RESULTS

Figure 2. Time to First Breakthrough OHE Episode in Patients With (A) or Without (B) Baseline Diabetes

Figure 3. Time to First HE-Related Hospitalization in Patients With (A) or Without (B) Baseline Diabetes

CONCLUSIONS

• Rifaximin plus lactulose was more efficacious than lactulose alone for reducing the risk of OHE recurrence and HE-related hospitalization in adults,

• Thus, both groups (with/without diabetes) could benefit from the addition of rifaximin to lactulose therapy for reducing the risk of OHE recurrence Also, although the sample size was small, comorbid diabetes in patients with cirrhosis does not appear to negatively impact rifaximin effectiveness







